



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/611,665

07/01/2003

Jeremy Roschelle

SRI/4335-3

5392

52197

7590

05/22/2009

Wall & Tong, LLP

SRI INTERNATIONAL

595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE

SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702

EXAMINER

HADIZONOOZ, BANAFSHEH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3715

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/22/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/611,665	Applicant(s) ROSCHELLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Banafsheh Hadizonooz	Art Unit 3715	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Banafsheh Hadizonooz. (3) Diana Ray.

(2) Kathleen Mosser. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05/14/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lockwood (US 6,554,618), Ziv-EI (US 6,302,698).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed claim 1. More specifically she argued that Ziv-EI does not disclose sending a plurality of response templates to a student and that the students in Ziv's invention can not choose their response templates. Applicant agreed to amend the claims to further specify the "query" and to disclose that students can select response template to overcome the Ziv-EI reference. No agreement was reached over patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kathleen Mosser/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715	
--	--